1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California THEODORA BERGER Senior Assistant Attorney General REED SATO Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 087685 1300 I Street P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: 916-324-8630 Fax: 916-327-3219 TODD D. RIEBE Amador District Attorney 708 Court Street, Suite 202 Jackson, CA 95642-2130	OSMAY 27 PM 1:48 SACRAMENTO COURTS DEPT. #53
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, People of the State of California	
11		
12	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST.	ATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAC	RAMENTO
14		
15	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel.	Case No.: 01AS04631
16	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General; PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. EDWIN F. LOWRY, Director, STATE DEPARTMENT OF	
17	TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL,	CONSENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF
18	Plaintiffs,	JUDGMENT; (PROPOSED) ORDER
19	v.	
20	W.D.I. DADTNEDCUID a nawtneyshin, DODEDT D	
21	K.R.L. PARTNERSHIP, a partnership; ROBERT R. WOMACK, individually and as a partner or agent; LARRY WOMACK, individually and as a partner;	
22	ROLAND E. WOMACK, individually and as a partner; NADINE L.WOMACK, individually and as a partner; LUKE A. WOMACK, individually and as a	
23	partner; LUKE A. WOMACK, individually and as a	
24	partner; KIMBERLY MOORE, individually and as a partner; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, inclusive;	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		
	1	

This Agreement is between the PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General; and PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. EDWIN F. LOWRY, Director, STATE DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL, (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs") and K.R.L. PARTNERSHIP; ROBERT R. WOMACK; LARRY WOMACK; ROLAND E. WOMACK; NADINE L.WOMACK; LUKE A. WOMACK; and KIMBERLY MOORE (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Settling Defendants").

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>.

In this action, Plaintiffs filed a civil complaint (the "Complaint") in Sacramento County Superior Court against the Settling Defendants. The Parties settle this action on the terms set forth in this Consent Agreement and Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment (hereinafter "Consent Judgment").

2. **COMPLAINT**.

A true and accurate copy of the Complaint is attached as Exhibit "A" hereto.

3. **JURISDICTION**.

The Plaintiff and Settling Defendants agree that the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento, has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the parties to this Consent Judgment.

4. <u>SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS</u>.

Settling Defendants expressly deny the allegations in the Complaint and the Consent Judgment and the Consent Judgment is not an admission by Settling Defendants regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of any law. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a compromise and settlement of certain disputed claims set forth in the Complaint for the purpose of furthering the public interest. Settling Defendants waive their right to a hearing on the first, second, and third causes of action settled by this Consent Judgment.

///

5.1 <u>Amount of Obligation</u>: Settling Defendants shall be jointly and severally liable for a total civil penalty of SIXTY-EIGHT THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$68,000.00). The Plaintiffs agree that they will first look to Robert R. Womack for payment of this obligation.

- **5.2 Payment:** No later than December 31, 2005, Settling Defendants shall pay the total amount of THIRTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$35,000.00) which will include costs of investigation and enforcement of SIXTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$16,000.00).
- 5.3 <u>Schedule for Payments</u>: Settling Defendants shall make the payments required by Paragraph 5.2 in accordance with the following schedule:
 - a. Within 15 days of the entry of the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants shall pay \$15,000.
 - b. On or before September 1, 2005, Settling Defendants shall pay \$10,000.
 - c. On or before December 31, 2005, Settling Defendants shall pay \$10,000.
- 5.4 <u>Suspended Obligation</u>: The remaining THIRTY THREE

 THOUSAND DOLLAR (\$33,000.00) obligation of the Settling Defendants, and each of them, shall be suspended for a period of five (5) years from the date of the entry of the Consent

 Judgment. If Settling Defendants, and each of them, do not violate the provisions of Paragraph 6 during that time period, they will be excused from paying that obligation.
- 5.5 <u>Penalties for Late Payments</u>: Settling Defendants, and each of them, shall pay interest at the rate of 10% per annum, calculated daily, and a stipulated civil penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) for each day that any payment required pursuant to Paragraph 5.3 or 5.4 is late.
- 5.6 <u>Delivery of Payment Checks</u>: All payments shall be made to the California Attorney General by cashier's or certified check. The check shall bear on its face the Case name, the Superior Court docket number, and the Attorney General's internal docket number for this matter SA 2000 CV 0173. The payment shall be sent to:

California Department of Justice Accounting Section - Cashiering Unit 1300 "I" Street, Suite 810 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550

The California Department of Justice may initially place any payments made pursuant to this Paragraph in its Litigation Deposit Fund and shall be responsible for distributing all the funds provided by this Consent Judgment to the Department of Toxic Substances Control

5.7 A photocopy of all payments made pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be sent, at the same time that they are delivered for payment, to Plaintiffs' representative as identified in Paragraph 8.

6. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

- 6.1 For the purposes of the suspension of the payment addressed in Paragraph 5.4, Settling Defendants, and each of them, shall comply with the requirements of Division 20, Chapter 6.7, of the Health and Safety Code with regard to the ownership or operation of underground storage tank systems. Any violation of the injunction required by this Consent Judgment shall be considered separate and in addition to any violation of the underlying law and regulations.
- 6.2 In the event that Plaintiffs determine that Settling Defendants, or any of them, are in violation of Paragraph 6.1, Plaintiffs will notify the Settling Defendants representative of that determination.
- 6.3 Plaintiffs agree that they will meet and confer with Settling Defendants regarding the determination within twenty (20) days of the date of the notification. The Parties shall negotiate in good faith in an effort to resolve any relief pursuant to this Paragraph without judicial intervention. Thereafter, Plaintiffs may move this Court to enjoin Defendants from any violation of any provision of this Consent Agreement and for the payment of the entire suspended obligation identified in Paragraph 5.4. If this Court grants Plaintiffs' motion, payment of the suspended obligation shall be due within fifteen (15) of the entry of the order granting Plaintiffs' motion.

- 2.7

7. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT.

- 7.1 Except as provided in Paragraph 7, the Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of the claims and violations alleged in the first, second, and third causes of action in the Complaint in this matter based on the specific facts alleged in those causes of action in Paragraphs 33 through 47, inclusive, and Paragraphs 59, 62, and 65, against the Settling Defendants.
- 7.2 The provisions of this Paragraph 7.1 are expressly conditioned on the Settling Defendants' full payment of the civil penalties specified in Paragraph 5 of the Consent Judgment and the Settling Defendants' full satisfaction of the terms of the Consent Judgment.
- 7.3 The matters which are addressed as set forth in Paragraph 7.1 are a "Covered Matter".
- 7.4 Any violations of law, statute, regulation or ordinance, if any, by Settling Defendants which are based on facts not expressly addressed as a Covered Matter are not resolved, settled, or covered by this Consent Judgment.
- civil or administrative claims against Plaintiff or agencies of the State of California, any counties of the State of California or any Certified Unified Program Agency, Participating Agency or Unified Program Agency as those terms are defined pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25281(d)(1)(2) and (3), or their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys arising out of or related to any matter expressly addressed by this Consent Judgment as a Covered Matter, except for the purpose of enforcing Plaintiffs' obligations under this Consent Judgment.
- 7.6 Notwithstanding any other provision of the Consent Judgment, the fourth, fifth and sixth causes of action in the Complaint are dismissed without prejudice by Plaintiff, People of the State of California, ex Rel. Edwin F. Lowry, Director, State Department of Toxic Substances Control. The Parties agree that such dismissal without prejudice is not a determination or finding that any of those causes of action are without merit or that any of the underlying allegations are not true or correct.

8. NOTICE. 2 All submissions and notices required by this Consent Judgment shall be sent to:

For Plaintiffs:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Reed Sato, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
1300 "I" Street
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, California 94244-2550
(916) 324-8630

For Settling Defendants:

David R. Isola, Esq. Isola & Associates LLP 701 Ham Lane, 2nd Floor Lodi, California 95242 (209) 367-7055

Any Party may change the address for purpose of notices to that Party by a notice specifying a new address, but no such change is effective until it is actually received by the Party sought to be charged with its contents. All notices and other communications required or permitted under this Consent Judgment that are addressed as provided in this Paragraph are effective upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective five (5) days following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by mail.

(Sections 9- 12 intentionally left blank)

13. REGULATORY CHANGES.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall excuse Settling Defendants from meeting any more stringent requirements which may be imposed hereafter by changes in applicable and legally binding legislation or regulations.

14. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT.

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Plaintiffs, the Settling Defendants, and the successors or assigns of each of them.

15. AUTHORITY TO ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT.

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment, to execute it on behalf of

the party represented and legally to bind that party.

16. CONTINUING JURISDICTION.

The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Consent Judgment.

17. INTEGRATION.

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for in the Consent Judgment.

18. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT.

This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written consent by the parties hereto and the approval of the Court.

(Section 19 intentionally left blank)

20. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT.

In the event that a Party brings an action to enforce any of the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable costs of enforcement, including attorney fees and costs, including any costs for expert witnesses or other costs of enforcement.

21. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES.

Settling Defendants, and each of them, shall pay all their own attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs, and all other costs of litigation and investigation incurred in this matter as of the date of the entry of the Consent Judgment. Plaintiffs, and each of them, are entitled to the payments set forth in Paragraph 5, above, but otherwise shall pay their own attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs, and all other costs of litigation incurred as of entry of this Consent Judgment.

22. <u>INTERPRETATION</u>.

This Consent Judgment shall be deemed to have been drafted equally by all parties hereto. Accordingly, the Parties hereby agree that any and all rules of construction to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of this Consent Judgment.

23. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES. 1 This Consent Judgment may be executed by the parties in counterpart, and when a 2 copy is signed by an authorized representative of each party, the stipulation shall be effective as 3 if a single document were signed by all parties. 4 5 IT IS SO STIPULATED: FOR THE PLAINTIFFS 6 my 25 7 Dated: April , 2005 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California THOMAS GREENE 8 Chief Assistant Attorney General THEODORA P. BERGER 9 Senior Assistant Attorney General 10 11 REED SATO Deputy Attorney General 12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, People of the State 13 of California, ex Rel. Bill Lockyer, Attorney General; People of the State of 14 California, ex Rel. Edwin F. Lowry, Director, State Department of Toxic 15 Substances Control 16 17 18 FOR THE SETTLING DEFENDANTS 19 Dated: April , 2005 KRL PARTNERSHIP 20 21 Dated: April , 2005 ROBERT R. WOMACK 22 23 Dated: April , 2005 LARRY WOMACK 24 Dated: April ___, 2005 25 ROLAND E. WOMACK 26 27 Dated: April , 2005

28

NADINE L. WOMACK

23. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES.

2	This Consent Judgment may be executed by the parties in counterpart, and	when a
3	copy is signed by an authorized representative of each party, the stipulation shall be effect	ive as
4	if a single document were signed by all parties.	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
6	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS	
7	Dated: April, 2005 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	
8		
9		
.0	· ·	
.1	REED SATO	
2		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, People of the of California, ex Rel. Bill Lockyer,	State
14	Attorney General; People of the State California, ex Rel. Edwin F. Lowry,	of
15	Director, State Department of Toxic Substances Control	
16 -	Les of the h	L Sh.
17		9
18	- I at a . 1 th Margala	
19	KRL PARTNERSHIP	
20	$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$	
21	ROBERT R. WOMACK	,
22		4
2324	LARRY WOMACK	
2 4 25	John 5 1/mal	•
26	ROLAND E. WOMACK	
20 27		-
28	NADINE L. WOMACK	
-0,		

	M_{1}
1	Dated: April, 2005 LUKE A. WOMACK
2	
3	Dated: April, 2005 KIMBERLY MOORE
4	Approved as to Form:
5	
6	Man 23 ISOLA & ASSOCIATES
7 8	Dated: April , 2005
9	Attorney for Defendants K.R.L. Partnership;
10	Robert R. Womack; Larry Womack; Roland E. Womack: Nadine L. Womack: Luke A.
11	Womack; and Kimberly Moore
12	IT IS SO ORDERED:
13	MAY 2 7 2005
14	Dated: April, 2005 LOREN E. McMASTER
15	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	9